ISSN : 2455-9679
SJIF Impact Factor : 6.190



IJRTSM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RECENT TECHNOLOGY SCIENCE & MANAGEMENT

"AI-DRIVEN CONTINUOUS PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL AND ITS IMPACT ON EMPLOYEE PRODUCTIVITY, FINANCIAL WELL-BEING, AND FIRM FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE IN THE BFSI SECTOR"

Dr. Diksha Mishra
Assistant Professor, Lakshmi Narain College of Technology Excellence, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

Banks, financial services firms and insurers (the BFSI sector) are rethinking how they judge their people. The once-a-year appraisal is giving way to continuous, data-driven systems built on dashboards, analytics and artificial intelligence (AI). Such systems promise quicker and more consistent evaluation, yet they also leave open some uncomfortable questions about fairness, about productivity, and about the financial security of employees. The last of these matters most where pay and incentives hang on performance targets, because constant monitoring can change how safe and settled a person feels in their job.

This paper explores those questions through secondary material: published studies, reports, government documents and other dependable sources. It reviews what is known about performance appraisal, perceived fairness, AI-based evaluation, employee financial well-being and organizational performance. From the gaps that emerge, it builds a conceptual framework and puts forward four hypotheses connecting appraisal practices to outcomes for both employees and organizations. No primary survey data are reported. The aim is to lay groundwork for later empirical work and to argue for appraisal practices in BFSI that are fair, transparent and centered on the employee.

Key Words: *Continuous Performance Appraisal; Artificial Intelligence; Employee Productivity; Financial Well-being; Firm Financial Performance; BFSI; Perceived Fairness.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Appraisal sits at the heart of human resource management. It is how an organization takes stock of its people and then decides who gets which salary, incentive, promotion, training opportunity or career path. In BFSI the stakes are higher than usual, since performance is so often counted in loans disbursed, deposits mobilized, financial products cross-sold and dues recovered. An appraisal outcome in this setting can therefore move an employee's income and prospects directly.

Technology has changed how appraisal is done. Many organizations have stopped relying on one annual review and now give feedback far more often, treating performance as something to manage continuously. Banks and other financial institutions, meanwhile, are adopting digital platforms, analytics and AI tools to gather and judge performance data. The hope is a process that is faster, more open and less subjective. But better tools do not guarantee that employees will see the process as fair, and that perception is what ultimately counts.

Earlier research warns that tough targets and incentive-driven appraisal can weigh on staff and on the organization alike. Heavy pressure to hit sales or recovery numbers can raise stress, dampen motivation and shape how people behave at work. What has drawn much less attention, especially in the Indian BFSI context, is the employee's own financial well-being. To address this, the present study draws on research papers, reports, government publications, industry reports and similar sources to look at how appraisal practices, fairness, financial well-being, employee

ISSN : 2455-9679
SJIF Impact Factor : 6.190

outcomes and organizational performance relate to one another. Its central suggestion is that financial well-being deserves a place next to productivity and firm performance as a subject of further study.

II. Objectives of the Study

- To explore how the frequency of feedback, the transparency of appraisal, AI-based evaluation and performance-linked pay shape employees' sense of fairness.
- To understand how perceived fairness bears on employees' financial well-being and their engagement with work.
- To look at how appraisal practices relate to productivity and staff turnover in the BFSI sector.
- To review the existing literature, Indian studies in particular, and pinpoint gaps concerning appraisal practices and employees' financial well-being.
- To put forward a simple conceptual model and a set of hypotheses that future empirical research can test.

III. Review of Literature

Indian research on appraisal in banks started long before AI arrived, and it started with a plain worry about fairness. Mangaleswaran and Srinivasan (2007) gathered responses from 449 employees across three public sector banks. Staff in those banks did not share a common picture of how appraisal worked, and many said it was not applied on a regular basis. The finding matters because it shows that, even without any technology in play, public sector banks were having trouble with the basics of keeping appraisal clear and consistent.

Jain and Jain (2014) widened the lens by comparing how employees in public sector, private sector and foreign banks in India reacted to their appraisal systems, looking at the quality of feedback, the accuracy of ratings and overall satisfaction. Foreign banks did better than both Indian public and private banks at explaining ratings to employees, although satisfaction was only middling across the board. A pattern seems to run through Indian appraisal research here: what bothers employees is often less the rating than the absence of a clear reason for it.

Shrivastava and Purang (2016) surveyed 340 bank employees and tied the picture together. Employees who saw the appraisal process as fair were happier with the process, and that satisfaction spilled over into their job satisfaction as a whole. A sense of unfair treatment, on the other hand, was linked directly to thoughts of quitting. For banks, this gives fairness a hard practical edge. It is not merely about morale; it connects to attrition, which is expensive for any financial institution to deal with.

Slightly earlier, Upadhyay (2005) approached the matter from a different direction in a doctoral study at the Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi. Rather than asking how employees felt, the study asked whether a bank's performance management system actually suited the bank's own culture and strategy. Its conclusion was that a system borrowed wholesale from another organisation, and not adapted to the bank's way of working, tends to underperform. The lesson is that fairness and design go hand in hand. A process can follow every rule on paper and still feel wrong if it does not fit how people really work in that particular bank.

In recent years Indian researchers have turned their attention to AI itself. Sharma, Sharma, Diddee and Sandhu (2024) asked employees and HR staff at eight Indian banks what they thought of AI in HR processes. Reactions were guardedly positive. People were willing to let AI help with decisions, but they wanted to understand how it reached its conclusions and to be reassured that the system treated people fairly.

Devikrishna, Aloshious, Rizwana and Shibi (2026) studied banks in the Ernakulam district of Kerala and found that performance dashboards, an earlier and simpler kind of data-driven appraisal, made evaluation more accurate and timely and helped line up individual goals with the bank's targets. They also suggested that such dashboards be upgraded in time with predictive, AI-based features. On a wider scale, Sumi, Devi and Chandrasekar (2025) reviewed the adoption of AI across Indian banking and reported lower operating costs and better efficiency, with its role likely to expand. Mishra and Arora (2025) examined how financial technology, including AI, blockchain and data analytics, is changing HR work such as recruitment, training and performance management. They stressed that these tools need to be used responsibly and ethically so that gains in efficiency do not cost employees' trust.

Put side by side, these studies tell a fairly coherent story. Indian work from the mid-2000s to around 2016 asked mainly

ISSN : 2455-9679
SJIF Impact Factor : 6.190

whether employees found appraisal fair, and it kept finding that fairness strongly affects both satisfaction and the wish to stay. Work since 2024 has moved to AI and data tools, and it finds employees open to them but still very concerned about transparency and fairness. The newer studies echo the older ones instead of replacing them. Missing from both periods is any direct effort to link appraisal, traditional or AI-based, to an employee's personal financial well-being, or to follow its effects through to the bank's own financial results. That is the space this paper sets out to fill.

IV. Research Gap

The review points to three gaps. First, the financial well-being of employees has received little notice in studies of appraisal in Indian BFSI, even though incentives and bonuses can make a real difference to what people earn. Second, studies of AI in banking tend to look at overall financial performance and say little about AI-based appraisal in particular. Third, fairness, financial well-being, productivity and organizational performance are seldom examined together within one framework. This study responds by bringing these elements into a single conceptual model.

V. Hypotheses of the Study

Drawing on the literature and the gaps above, the following hypotheses are put forward for future research:

- **H1:** Transparent appraisal, regular feedback and AI-based evaluation improve employees' perception of fairness.
- **H2:** Perceived fairness in appraisal improves employees' financial well-being.
- **H3:** Fairness and financial well-being raise employee engagement and productivity and lower the intention to leave.
- **H4:** Higher productivity and lower employee turnover are positively related to the financial performance of BFSI organizations.

VI. Conceptual Framework

The four hypotheses form one chain. How an appraisal system is designed, in terms of transparency, regular feedback and AI-based evaluation , may shape whether employees feel they are treated fairly. That sense of fairness may in turn affect their financial well-being and engagement, which can then influence productivity and retention. At the level of the organization, these employee outcomes may feed into overall financial performance.

The framework rests on nine variables: appraisal transparency, feedback frequency, AI-enabled evaluation, perceived fairness, financial well-being, employee engagement, productivity, retention and organizational financial performance. Transparency is how well employees understand the criteria and results of their appraisal, and feedback frequency is how often they hear about their performance. AI-enabled evaluation means the use of AI and data-based tools in assessing performance. Financial well-being is an employee's sense of financial security, which matters most where pay depends on targets. Engagement and productivity capture how motivated, involved and effective people are at work.

The framework is kept deliberately simple and draws on relationships found in existing literature and secondary sources. Other factors could well alter these relationships, including employees' trust in AI, how transparent automated decisions are, the person's job role, and the type of financial institution. An overemphasis on sales or recovery targets may also push employees to chase whatever is measured while neglecting other duties. For this reason, appraisal in BFSI should be seen as more than an HR or technology tool. It also has to be considered in light of employee well-being, responsible conduct and the organization's overall performance.

VII. Research Methodology

This is a conceptual study built on secondary data; no primary data were collected. The material comes from existing research papers, books, reports, government publications, industry reports and other reliable sources.
Relevant information can be drawn from bodies such as the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), IRDAI, SEBI and the Ministry of Finance, along with published studies on banks, NBFCs and insurance companies. Earlier research on AI-

ISSN : 2455-9679
SJIF Impact Factor : 6.190

based performance evaluation, appraisal transparency, fairness, financial well-being, employee engagement and productivity will be reviewed.

The literature will then be compared and analyzed to see how these variables relate to each other and whether earlier findings support the proposed hypotheses. Gaps and areas needing more research will be noted along the way.

In short, the method consists of reviewing, comparing and interpreting existing secondary information in support of the proposed conceptual framework.

VIII. Scope and Significance of the Study

The study centers on BFSI because appraisal in this sector is tightly bound up with salary, incentives, sales targets and recovery targets. Questions of fairness and employee financial well-being therefore carry particular weight here.

It relies on secondary data from existing research papers, reports, government publications, industry reports and other reliable sources. Academic researchers can use the conceptual framework and hypotheses as a starting point for future studies. HR and compensation professionals are reminded to weigh fairness and employee well-being when they bring technology and AI into appraisal. Regulators and industry bodies may find in it a useful view of how incentive systems, employee outcomes and conduct-related concerns connect in BFSI.

IX. Limitations

This study is conceptual and draws on secondary data, so it does not provide original empirical findings or primary data from employees. The hypotheses put forward are proposed relationships that have yet to be tested. The paper relies on a selection of published research, reports and other secondary sources rather than a full systematic review, which means some relevant studies may not be included. The framework also takes into account only a limited set of key variables. Future research could extend it with factors such as job role, work experience, type of institution, organizational size or other workplace conditions that may influence the proposed relationships. In addition, the quality and scope of the findings are bound by what the available secondary sources contain. The conclusions should therefore be interpreted within the limits of the existing literature, and future empirical studies can offer stronger evidence.

X. Conclusion

BFSI organizations, Indian banks among them, are moving steadily toward continuous, data-based and AI-supported appraisal. The existing Indian research and secondary sources reviewed here suggest that this move to technology has not made the old question of fairness go away. Employees are growing more comfortable with AI and digital tools, yet they still expect transparency, fair treatment and a clear explanation of how they are assessed.

The review also exposes an area that needs more attention: what appraisal does to employees financially. The literature says little about whether a transparent, fair system improves employees' financial well-being, or whether an unclear or unfair one adds to their financial stress. It is equally worth asking whether financial well-being is tied to engagement and productivity and, in the end, to the performance of BFSI organizations.

The four hypotheses and the conceptual framework offered here are meant as a foundation for that work. Future empirical studies, using primary or secondary data, can test these relationships and show more convincingly how AI-enabled appraisal, fairness, financial well-being, employee outcomes and organizational performance fit together.

REFERENCES

[1] Devikrishna, Aloshious, A., Rizwana, F., & Shibi, B. (2026). Role of HR analytics in improving performance management systems: A study on performance dashboards and goal achievement in banks of Ernakulam district. Shanlax ComFin Research.

[2] Jain, S., & Jain, R. (2014). Employee reactions to performance appraisal system in Indian banks. Indian Journal of Industrial Relations, 49(4), 576–589.

[3] Mangaleswaran, T., & Srinivasan, P. T. (2007). A study on performance appraisal practices in Indian public sector banks. [Working paper].

ISSN : 2455-9679
SJIF Impact Factor : 6.190

[4] Mishra, N., & Arora, S. (2025). Transforming human resource management in the FinTech era: Challenges and strategic opportunities. Atlantis Press.
[5] Sharma, P., Sharma, V., Diddee, S., & Sandhu, S. (2024). Influence of artificial intelligence on employee performance in banking industry: An Indian perspective. Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research, 296.
[6] Shrivastava, A., & Purang, P. (2016). Performance appraisal fairness and its outcomes: A study of Indian banks. Indian Journal of Industrial Relations, 51(4), 660–670.
[7] Sumi, A. M., Devi, A. J., & Chandrasekar, K. S. (2025). Recent trends in the development of artificial intelligence among banking sectors in India. In Trends and Challenges of Electronic Finance (pp. 375–391). Springer.
[8] Upadhyay, J. P. (2005). Relationship of employee performance management system with organizational culture and strategy: An exploratory study of select organizations in the Indian banking sector (Doctoral dissertation). Indian Institute of Technology Delhi.